arXiv:1610.08855v1 [math.CO] 27 Oct 2016

The signless Laplacian spectral radius of subgraphs of regular graphs *

Qi Kong[1], Ligong Wang[2]
Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, People's Republic of China.
[1]E-mail: kongqixgd@163.com
[2]E-mail: lgwangmath@163.com

October 28, 2016

Abstract

Let $q(H)$ be the signless Laplacian spectral radius of a graph H. In this paper, we prove that
1. Let H be a proper subgraph of a Δ-regular graph G with n vertices and diameter D. Then

$$2\Delta - q(H) > \frac{1}{n(D-\frac{1}{4})}.$$

2. Let H be a proper subgraph of a k-connected Δ-regular graph G with n vertices, where $k \geq 2$. Then

$$2\Delta - q(H) > \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2}.$$

Finally, we compare the two bounds. We obtain that when $k > 2\sqrt{\frac{(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)}{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, the second bound is always better than the first. On the other hand, when $k < \frac{2(n-\Delta)}{\sqrt{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, the first bound is always better than the second.

Key Words: Irregular graph, k-connected graph, Signless Laplacian spectral radius, Maximum degree.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 05C50, 15A18.

1 Introduction

As usual, let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a finite, undirected and simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. Set $N_G(v_i) = \{v | v_i v \in E(G)\}$ and $d_G(v_i) = |N_G(v_i)|$, or simply $N(v_i)$ and $d_i = d(v_i)$, respectively. Let $\delta = \delta(G)$ and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denote

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171273)

the minimum degree and maximum degree of the graph G, respectively. If $\Delta = \delta$, then G is regular. Let $P = x_1x_2\dots x_t$ be a path in G with a given orientation. We denote by x_iPx_j the path $x_ix_{i+1}\dots x_{j-1}x_j$ for $i < j$. The distance between any two vertices v_i and v_j in G is the number of edges in a shortest path connecting v_i and v_j, denoted by $d_G(v_i, v_j)$. The diameter $D = D_G$ of G is the maximum distance between any two vertices of G. The (vertex) connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal disconnects G or reduces it to a single vertex. For an integer $k \geq 1$, G is called k-connected if $\kappa(G) \geq k$. For terminologies and notations of graphs undefined here, we refer the reader to [1].

Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of G and $D(G) = diag(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G. The matrix $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ is called the Laplacian matrix of G, and the matrix $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ is called the signless Laplacian matrix of G. The largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$, $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ are called spectral radius, Laplacian spectral radius and signless Laplacian spectral radius of G, and denoted by $\rho(G)$, $\mu(G)$ and $q(G)$, respectively. Since $A(G)$, $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ are real symmetric matrices, their eigenvalues are real numbers.

If G is a simple connected graph, then the matrix $A(G)$ (or $Q(G)$) is a nonnegative irreducible matrix and the largest eigenvalues of $A(G)$ (or $Q(G)$) is nonnegative. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $\rho(G)$ (or $q(G)$) is simple and has a unique positive unit eigenvector.

We all know that $\rho(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ with equality if and only if G is regular. Some good bounds on the spectral radius $\rho(G)$ of connected irregular graphs have been obtained by various authors in [2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13]. Moreover, if H is a proper subgraph of a connected graph G, then $\rho(G) > \rho(H)$. Then Nikiforov in [9] gave a bound of $\rho(G)-\rho(H)$. So combining the above two famous results, the authors in [5, 8, 9, 12] obtained some bounds of $\rho(G)-\rho(H)$ when H is the proper subgraph of connected regular graph G.

Also, as we all know that $q(G) \leq 2\Delta(G)$ with equality if and only if G is regular [4]. In fact, in 2013, Ning et al. [10] gave a bound on the signless Laplacian spectral radius of irregular graph G with n vertices, maximum degree Δ and diameter D:

$$2\Delta - q(G) > \frac{1}{n(D - \frac{1}{4})}. \tag{1}$$

And in 2015, Chen and Hou [6] obtained a bound on the signless Laplacian spectral radius of k-connected irregular graph G:

$$2\Delta - q(G) > \frac{2(n\Delta - 2m)k^2}{2(n\Delta - 2m)[n^2 - 2(n-k)] + nk^2}. \tag{2}$$

In [6], they also obtained when $k \geq \sqrt{n}$, bound (2) is always better than bound (1).

We also know that $q(H) \leq q(G)$ whenever H is a subgraph of G. So we can arise the following question:

How small $q(G) - q(H)$ can be when H is a subgraph of a regular graph G?

In this paper, we give two bounds of $q(G)-q(H)$ when H is a subgraph of a regular graph G.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a proper subgraph of a Δ-regular graph G with n vertices and diameter D. Then*

$$2\Delta - q(H) > \frac{1}{n(D - \frac{1}{4})}. \tag{3}$$

By taking connectivity parameter into account, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let H be a proper subgraph of a k-connected Δ-regular graph G with n vertices. If $k \geq 2$, then*

$$2\Delta - q(H) > \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2}. \tag{4}$$

Finally, we compare the two bounds. We also obtain when $k > 2\sqrt{\frac{(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)}{n(4D-3)-2}} + 1$, bound (4) is always better than bound (3). On the other hand, when $k < \frac{2(n-\Delta)}{\sqrt{n(4D-3)-2}} + 1$, bound (3) is always better than bound (4).

Moreover, we notice that $\mu(G) \leq q(G)$ when G is a graph, and if G is connected, then the equality holds if and only if G is a bipartite graph [14]. Then we can give two upper bound of Laplacian spectral radius of subgraphs of regular graphs.

Corollary 1.3. *Let H be a proper subgraph of a Δ-regular graph G with n vertices and diameter D. Then*

$$2\Delta - \mu(H) > \frac{1}{n(D-\frac{1}{4})}.$$

Corollary 1.4. *Let H be a proper subgraph of a k-connected Δ-regular graph G with n vertices. If $k \geq 2$, then*

$$2\Delta - \mu(H) > \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2}.$$

2 The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In this section, we begin to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Before our proofs we give a lemma which is used in the proofs. It is an immediate consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (or see [12]).

Lemma 2.1. *([12]) If $a, b > 0$, then $a(x-y)^2 + by^2 \geq abx^2/(a+b)$ with equality if and only if $y = ax/(a+b)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a Δ-regular graph. And we suppose that H is a maximal proper subgraph of G, i.e., $V(H) = V(G)$ and H differs from G in a single edge uv, i.e., $H = G - uv$. Then $d_H(u) = d_H(v) = \Delta - 1$.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^T$ be the unique unit positive eigenvector of $Q(H)$ corresponding to $q(H)$. Clearly, $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1$. Let w be a vertex such that $x_w = max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$. Thus we have $x_w > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

We will prove that $u \neq w$ and $v \neq w$. Indeed, if $u = w$, then

$$q(H)x_u = (\Delta - 1)x_u + \sum_{uv_i \in E(H)} x_i \leq 2(\Delta - 1)x_u,$$

and thus $q(H) \leq 2(\Delta - 1)$, contradicting the fact that $q(H) > 2\delta = 2(\Delta - 1)$ since H is a irregular graph (see [4]). Hence, $u \neq w$. Similarly, $v \neq w$.

We also find that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta - q(H) &= 2\Delta \cdot 1 - x^T Q(H) x \\
&= 2\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(H)} x_i x_j \\
&= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(H)} x_i x_j \\
&= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(H)} (x_i - x_j)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $d_H(w,u) \leq D-1$.

Select a shortest path $u = u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l = w$ joining u to w in H, i.e., $l \leq D-1$. By Lemma 2.1 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta - q(H) &= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(H)} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\
&> \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})^2 + 2x_u^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{l} [\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})]^2 + 2x_u^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{l} (x_w - x_u)^2 + 2x_u^2 \\
&\geq \frac{2}{2l+1} x_w^2 > \frac{2}{2(D-1)+1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n(D-\frac{1}{2})} > \frac{1}{n(D-\frac{1}{4})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $d_H(w,u) \geq D$.

In this case, by symmetry, $d_H(w,v) \geq D$. Let $P : u = u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l = w$ and Q be shortest paths joining u to w and v to w in G, respectively. Next we will prove that $u \notin Q$ and $v \notin P$.

If $u \in Q$, then there exists a path of length at most $D-1$ joining w to u in G, and thus in H, a contradiction. Hence, $u \notin Q$. By symmetry, $v \notin P$.

Thus the paths P and Q belong to H, and we have

$$d_H(w,u) = d_H(w,v) = D.$$

Then we have $l = D$. Let t be the smallest index j such that u_j is on Q, then $t \geq 1$. Obviously, uPu_t and vQu_t have the same length. Using Lemma 2.1 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta - q(H) &= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{v_iv_j \in E(H)} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\
&\geq 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})^2 + \sum_{ij \in E(vQu_t)} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=t}^{D-1} (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{t}(x_{u_t} - x_u)^2 + 2x_u^2 + \frac{1}{t}(x_{u_t} - x_v)^2 + 2x_v^2 + \frac{1}{D-t}(x_w - x_{u_t})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{4}{2t+1} x_{u_t}^2 + \frac{1}{D-t}(x_w - x_{u_t})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{4}{4D - 2t + 1} x_w^2 \geq \frac{4}{4D-1} x_w^2 \\
&> \frac{1}{n(D - \frac{1}{4})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a k-connected Δ-regular graph. And we suppose that H is a maximal proper subgraph of G, i.e., $V(H) = V(G)$ and H differs from G in a single edge uv, i.e., $H = G - uv$. Then $d_H(u) = d_H(v) = \Delta - 1$. Note that $\Delta \geq k \geq 2$. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $\Delta = 2$.

In this case, G must be the cycle C_n on n vertices, and thus H is the path P_n on n vertices. Further, noticing that $q(P_n) = 2 + 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n}$ and $\sin x > x - x^3/6$, one check that

$$2\Delta - q(H) = 2(1 - \cos\frac{\pi}{n}) = 4\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2n} > \frac{2}{2n^2 - 7n + 9},$$

as desired, completing the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $\Delta \geq 3$.

In this case, note that H is connected since $k \geq 2$. Then let $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^T$ be the unique unit positive eigenvector of $Q(H)$ corresponding to $q(H)$. Clearly, $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1$. And let w be a vertex such that $x_w = max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$. By similar arguments as the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have that $u \neq w$ and $v \neq w$. We also find that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta - q(H) &= 2\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{v_iv_j \in E(H)} x_i x_j \\
&= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{v_iv_j \in E(H)} x_i x_j \\
&= 2(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + \sum_{v_iv_j \in E(H)} (x_i - x_j)^2. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa(H - v) \geq k - 1$, again by Menger's Theorem, there are (at least) $k - 1$ vertex-disjoint paths joining w and u in $H - v$, say $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_{k-1}$, which are as short as possible. Clearly,

each of these paths contains only one vertex in $N_H(u)$, and then $\sum_{t=1}^{k-1}|V(P_t)| \le n-\Delta+3k-5$. Thus by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{v_iv_j\in E(G)}(x_i-x_j)^2 &\ge \sum_{t=1}^{k-1}\sum_{v_iv_j\in E(P_t)}(x_i-x_j)^2\\ &\ge \sum_{t=1}^{k-1}\frac{1}{|V(P_t)|-1}(\sum_{v_iv_j\in E(P_t)}(x_i-x_j))^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= (\sum_{t=1}^{k-1}\frac{1}{|V(P_t)|-1})(x_w-x_u)^2\\ &\ge \frac{(k-1)^2}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1}(|V(P_t)|-1)}(x_w-x_u)^2\\ &\ge \frac{(k-1)^2}{n-\Delta+2k-4}(x_w-x_u)^2. \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5) and (6), and using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\Delta-q(H) &> 2x_u^2+\frac{(k-1)^2}{n-\Delta+2k-4}(x_w-x_u)^2\\ &\ge \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(k-1)^2}x_w^2. \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$B=\frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2}.$$

Next we will show that $2\Delta-q(H)>B$.

Suppose that $N_H(u)=\{u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{\Delta-1}\}$. Here w may be u_t for some $t\in\{1,2,\cdots,\Delta-1\}$, if this is the case, for convenience, we assume $w=u_{\Delta-1}$.

Subcase 2.1. $x_u^2+x_v^2\ge B/2$.

In this case, from (5), we can get

$$2\Delta-q(H)>2(x_u^2+x_v^2)>B.$$

Subcase 2.2. $\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2}x_{u_t}^2\ge\frac{\Delta}{2}B$

In this case, for avoiding the possible case of $w=u_{\Delta-1}$, then using (5) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2\Delta-q(H) &\ge 2x_u^2+\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2}(x_{u_t}-x_u)^2\\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2}[\frac{2}{\Delta-2}x_u^2+(x_{u_t}-x_u)^2]\\ &\ge \frac{2}{\Delta}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2}x_{u_t}^2\ge B.\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.3. $x_u^2 + x_v^2 < B/2$ and $\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2} x_{u_t^2} < \frac{\Delta}{2}B$.

In this case, noticing that

$$x_w^2 \geq (1 - x_u^2 - x_v^2 - \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta-2} x_u(t)^2)/(n-\Delta) > (1 - \frac{\Delta+1}{2}B)/(n-\Delta),$$

and from (7) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta - q(H) &> \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(k-1)^2}x_w^2 \\ &> \frac{2(k-1)^2}{[2(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(k-1)^2](n-\Delta)}(1-\frac{\Delta+1}{2}B) \\ &= \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2} = B. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

3 Final remarks

It is easy to prove that when $k > 2\sqrt{\frac{(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)}{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, bound (4) is always better than bound (3). Indeed, when $k > 2\sqrt{\frac{(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)}{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, from (4), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta - q(H) &> \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-\Delta)(n+\Delta-4)/(k-1)^2+(n+1)/2} \\ &> \frac{1}{n(D-1/4)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when $k < \frac{2(n-\Delta)}{\sqrt{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, bound (3) is always better than bound (4). Indeed, when $k < \frac{2(n-\Delta)}{\sqrt{n(4D-3)-2}}+1$, from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta - q(H) &> \frac{1}{n(D-1/4)} \\ &> \frac{1}{(n-\Delta)^2/(k-1)^2+(n+1)/2} \\ &= \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)^2+(n+1)(k-1)^2} \\ &> \frac{2(k-1)^2}{2(n-\Delta)(n-\Delta+2k-4)+(n+1)(k-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To provide some preliminary evidence, we here list some values of bounds (3) and (4), as shown in Table 1. Graphs G_1 and G_2 are the 3-regular graphs, as shown in Figure 1. And G_{11} and G_{12} are the maximal subgraphs of G_1 and G_{21} is the maximal subgraph of G_2, respectively, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1: 3-regular graphs G_1 and G_2



Figure 2: The subgraphs of G_1 and G_2

Table 1: Bounds (3) and (4) of maximal subgraphs H for regular graphs

Graph	Maximal subgraph H	$2\Delta - q(H)$	(3)	(4)
C_6	P_6	0.268	**0.0606**	0.05128
C_{12}	P_{12}	0.0682	**0.0159**	0.0094
K_6	$K_6 - e$	0.5359	0.2222	**0.25397**
K_{12}	$K_{12} - e$	0.2918	0.1111	**0.14948**
G_1	G_{11}	0.4384	0.0952	**0.1379**
G_1	G_{12}	0.4113	0.0952	**0.2069**
G_2	G_{21}	0.2907	0.0714	**0.0816**

References

[1] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Grad. Texts Math, **184**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[2] S. M. Cioabă, The spectral radius and the maximum degree of irregular graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007) R38, 10 pp.

[3] S. M. Cioabă, D. A. Gregory, V. Nikiforov, Extreme eigenvalues of nonregular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007) 483–486.

[4] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 75, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.

[5] X.D. Chen, Y.P. Hou, The extreme eigenvalues and maximum degree of k-connected irregular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **463** (2014) 33–44.

[6] X.D. Chen, Y.P. Hou, On the bounds of Laplacian eigenvalues of k-connected graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **65** (140) (2015) 701-712.

[7] B.L. Liu, J. Shen, X.M. Wang, On the largest eigenvalue of non-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007) 1010–1018.

[8] V. Nikiforov, The spectral radius of subgraphs of regular graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007) N20, 4 pp.

[9] V. Nikiforov, Revisiting two classical results on graph spectra, *Electronic J. Combin.* **14** (2007) R14, 7 pp.

[10] W.J. Ning, H. Li, M. Lu, On the signless Laplacian spectral radius of irregular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013) 2280–2288.

[11] D. Stevanović, The largest eigenvalue of nonregular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **91** (2004) 143–146.

[12] L.S. Shi, The spectral radius of irregular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009) 189–196.

[13] X.D. Zhang, Eigenvectors and eigenvalues of non-regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **409** (2005) 79–86.

[14] X.D. Zhang, R. Luo, The spectral radius of triangle-free graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **26** (2002) 33–39.